

2018 Allen & Overy Private Law Moot – Oral Submissions Scoresheet

Date:	Time:	Judge Name:
Appellant Team Number:	Respondent Team Number:	

Grading scheme (overall score out of 50)

Poor	Needs improvement	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
≤10	10–19	20–29	30–39	≥40

First Speaker (Appellant Senior Counsel) Name:

Argument and Structure	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge of relevant legal principles and problem question facts Appropriate and persuasive use of authorities Quality and depth of research Application of facts to the law (beyond mere factual arguments) Innovation and creativity without overstepping precedent Structured, thorough and sensible arguments 	/25
Questions and Answers	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Persuasive, logical and direct answers to questions from the judges, without evasion Citation of law and authorities in response to questions Flexibility in deviating from written speech/submissions to answer questions Ability to make strategic concessions where appropriate Ability to seamlessly tie Q&A into submissions 	/15
Presentation and Speaking Ability	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear and confident speech at a measured pace Varied tone and pitch Consistent eye contact, stance and gestures Appropriate courtesy and formality Appropriate time given to each submission; arguments concluded within the allotted time 	/10
Comments	
	<p>Speaker Total Score:</p> <p>/50</p>

Second Speaker (Appellant Junior Counsel) Name:

Argument and Structure	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of relevant legal principles and problem question facts • Appropriate and persuasive use of authorities • Quality and depth of research • Application of facts to the law (beyond mere factual arguments) • Innovation and creativity without overstepping precedent • Structured, thorough and sensible arguments 	/25
Questions and Answers	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persuasive, logical and direct answers to questions from the judges, without evasion • Citation of law and authorities in response to questions • Flexibility in deviating from written speech/submissions to answer questions • Ability to make strategic concessions where appropriate • Ability to seamlessly tie Q&A into submissions 	/15
Presentation and Speaking Ability	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear and confident speech at a measured pace • Varied tone and pitch • Consistent eye contact, stance and gestures • Appropriate courtesy and formality • Appropriate time given to each submission; arguments concluded within the allotted time 	/10
Comments	
	<p>Speaker Total Score:</p> <p>/50</p>

TEAM TOTAL SCORE	/100
-------------------------	-------------

2018 Allen & Overy Private Law Moot – Oral Submissions Scoresheet

Grading scheme (overall score out of 50)

Poor	Needs improvement	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
≤10	10–19	20–29	30–39	≥40

Third Speaker (Respondent Senior Counsel) Name:

Argument and Structure	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of relevant legal principles and problem question facts • Appropriate and persuasive use of authorities • Quality and depth of research • Application of facts to the law (beyond mere factual arguments) • Innovation and creativity without overstepping precedent • Structured, thorough and sensible arguments 	/25
Questions and Answers	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persuasive, logical and direct answers to questions from the judges, without evasion • Citation of law and authorities in response to questions • Flexibility in deviating from written speech/submissions to answer questions • Ability to make strategic concessions where appropriate • Ability to seamlessly tie Q&A into submissions 	/15
Presentation and Speaking Ability	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear and confident speech at a measured pace • Varied tone and pitch • Consistent eye contact, stance and gestures • Appropriate courtesy and formality • Appropriate time given to each submission; arguments concluded within the allotted time 	/10
Comments	
	<p>Speaker Total Score:</p> <p>/50</p>

Fourth Speaker (Respondent Junior Counsel) Name:

Argument and Structure	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of relevant legal principles and problem question facts • Appropriate and persuasive use of authorities • Quality and depth of research • Application of facts to the law (beyond mere factual arguments) • Innovation and creativity without overstepping precedent • Structured, thorough and sensible arguments 	/25
Questions and Answers	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persuasive, logical and direct answers to questions from the judges, without evasion • Citation of law and authorities in response to questions • Flexibility in deviating from written speech/submissions to answer questions • Ability to make strategic concessions where appropriate • Ability to seamlessly tie Q&A into submissions 	/15
Presentation and Speaking Ability	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear and confident speech at a measured pace • Varied tone and pitch • Consistent eye contact, stance and gestures • Appropriate courtesy and formality • Appropriate time given to each submission; arguments concluded within the allotted time 	/10
Comments	
	<p>Speaker Total Score:</p> <p>/50</p>

TEAM TOTAL SCORE	/100
-------------------------	-------------

OVERALL WINNER (please circle):	Appellant / Respondent
--	-------------------------------